

HOMOLOGICAL INFINITENESS OF TORELLI GROUPS

TOSHIYUKI AKITA

1. INTRODUCTION

Let Σ_g be a closed orientable surface of genus $g \geq 2$. Let $\mathcal{M}_{g,r}^n$ be the mapping class group of Σ_g relative to n distinguished points and r fixed embedded disks. The action of $\mathcal{M}_{g,r}^n$ on the homology of Σ_g induces a surjective homomorphism

$$\mathcal{M}_{g,r}^n \rightarrow Sp(2g, \mathbb{Z}),$$

where $Sp(2g, \mathbb{Z})$ is the Siegel modular group. The Torelli group $\mathcal{I}_{g,r}^n$ is defined to be its kernel so that we have an extension

$$1 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{g,r}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{g,r}^n \rightarrow Sp(2g, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow 1.$$

We omit the decorations n and r when they are zero.

In a series of papers [7, 8, 9, 10], D. Johnson obtained several fundamental results concerning the structure of $\mathcal{I}_g$ and $\mathcal{I}_{g,1}$ (see also [11, 2]). In particular, he proved that $\mathcal{I}_g$ and $\mathcal{I}_{g,1}$ are finitely generated for all $g \geq 3$. On the contrary, A. Miller and D. McCullough [14] showed that $\mathcal{I}_2$ (and hence $\mathcal{I}_{2,r}^n$ for all $n, r \geq 0$) is not finitely generated. G. Mess [13] showed that $\mathcal{I}_2$ is a free group on infinitely many generators. Johnson and J. Millson showed that $H_3(\mathcal{I}_3, \mathbb{Z})$ contains a free abelian group of infinite rank (cf. [13]). It is not known whether $\mathcal{I}_{g,r}^n$ is finitely presented for $g \geq 3$. In this paper, we will prove:

Theorem 1. *For all $n \geq 0$, the rational homology $H_*(\mathcal{I}_g^n, \mathbb{Q})$ of the Torelli group $\mathcal{I}_g^n$ is infinite dimensional over $\mathbb{Q}$ if g is sufficiently large compared with n . In particular, $H_*(\mathcal{I}_g, \mathbb{Q})$ and $H_*(\mathcal{I}_g^1, \mathbb{Q})$ are infinite dimensional for $g \geq 7$.*

This theorem yields the negative answer to the question posed by Johnson [11] which asks whether the Torelli space $\mathbf{T}_g^1$ (see §2 for the definition) is homotopy equivalent to a finite complex, provided $g \geq 7$.

For $n+r \leq 1$, let $\mathcal{K}_{g,r}^n$ be the subgroup of $\mathcal{M}_{g,r}^n$ generated by all the Dehn twists along separating simple closed curves. The groups $\mathcal{K}_{g,1}$ and $\mathcal{K}_g$ are related to the Casson invariants of homology 3-spheres through the work of S. Morita [15, 16]. For $g = 2$, $\mathcal{K}_2$ is equal to $\mathcal{I}_2$ so that it is a free group on infinitely many generators. In contrast, the group $\mathcal{K}_g$ is not free for $g \geq 3$ and almost nothing is known about the structure of this group, however, we can prove:

Theorem 2. *For all $g \geq 3$, $H_*(\mathcal{K}_g, \mathbb{Q})$ and $H_*(\mathcal{K}_g^1, \mathbb{Q})$ are infinite dimensional over $\mathbb{Q}$.*

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 55R40, 32G15, 20F38; Secondary 20J99, 57M99.

Key words and phrases. Torelli group, Torelli space.

The author is supported by Grand-in-Aid for Encouragement of Young Scientists (No. 09740072), the Ministry of Education, Science, Sports and Culture.

Remark 1. There is no general agreement on the definition of $\mathcal{I}_{g,r}^n$ when $r + n > 1$. We employ the one which was used in [2]. It differs from that which was given in [9].

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we recall relevant definitions and facts concerning of Torelli groups which will be used later. The reader should refer to [2, 4] for further detail.

Let $\mathcal{T}_g^n$ be the Teichmüller space with n marked points. $\mathcal{M}_g^n$ acts on $\mathcal{T}_g^n$ properly discontinuously and the quotient space $\mathbf{M}_g^n = \mathcal{T}_g^n / \mathcal{M}_g^n$ is, by definition, the moduli space of curves of genus g with n marked points. The Torelli group $\mathcal{I}_g^n$ is torsion-free and hence it acts on $\mathcal{T}_g^n$ freely so that the quotient space $\mathbf{T}_g^n = \mathcal{T}_g^n / \mathcal{I}_g^n$ is a complex manifold. Moreover, since $\mathcal{T}_g^n$ is contractible, $\mathbf{T}_g^n$ is the classifying space of $\mathcal{I}_g^n$ so that there is a canonical isomorphism

$$H_*(\mathcal{I}_g^n, \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_*(\mathbf{T}_g^n, \mathbb{Z}).$$

$\mathbf{T}_g^n$ is called the *Torelli space* and is important in algebraic geometry (cf. [2]). The action of $\mathcal{M}_g^n$ on $\mathcal{T}_g^n$ induces the properly discontinuous action of $Sp(2g, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathcal{M}_g^n / \mathcal{I}_g^n$ on $\mathbf{T}_g^n$ so that the quotient space $\mathbf{T}_g^n / Sp(2g, \mathbb{Z})$ coincides with $\mathbf{M}_g^n$.

Let $\mathfrak{S}_g$ be the Siegel upper half space of degree g . The group $Sp(2g, \mathbb{Z})$ acts on $\mathfrak{S}_g$ properly discontinuously and the quotient space $Sp(2g, \mathbb{Z}) \backslash \mathfrak{S}_g$ is identified with the moduli space of principally polarized abelian varieties of dimension g . For an integer $L \geq 3$, let $\Gamma(L)$ be the principal congruence subgroup of $Sp(2g, \mathbb{Z})$ of level L defined to be the kernel of the canonical homomorphism

$$Sp(2g, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow Sp(2g, \mathbb{Z}/L\mathbb{Z}).$$

$\Gamma(L)$ is a torsion-free subgroup of finite index of $Sp(2g, \mathbb{Z})$ and hence acts on $\mathfrak{S}_g$ freely. The quotient space $\Gamma(L) \backslash \mathfrak{S}_g$ is identified with the moduli space of principally polarized abelian varieties of dimension g with level L structure. It is known that $\Gamma(L) \backslash \mathfrak{S}_g$ is homotopy equivalent to a finite complex (see [1, 18] for instance).

Let $\mathcal{M}_g^n(L) \subset \mathcal{M}_g^n$ be the full inverse image of $\Gamma(L)$ under the homomorphism $\mathcal{M}_g^n \rightarrow Sp(2g, \mathbb{Z})$ so that it fits into the extension

$$1 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_g^n \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_g^n(L) \rightarrow \Gamma(L) \rightarrow 1. \quad (1)$$

$\mathcal{M}_g^n(L)$ is a torsion-free subgroup of finite index of $\mathcal{M}_g^n$ and hence acts on $\mathcal{T}_g^n$ freely. The quotient space $\mathbf{M}_g^n(L) = \mathcal{T}_g^n / \mathcal{M}_g^n(L)$ is, by definition, the moduli space of curves of genus g with n marked points and level L structure. The action of $\mathcal{M}_g^n(L)$ on $\mathcal{T}_g^n$ induces the free action of $\Gamma(L) = \mathcal{M}_g^n(L) / \mathcal{I}_g^n$ on $\mathbf{T}_g^n$ so that the quotient space $\mathbf{T}_g^n / \Gamma(L)$ coincides with $\mathbf{M}_g^n(L)$. According to the work of W. Harvey [6], $\mathbf{M}_g^n(L)$ is homotopy equivalent to a finite complex.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

Fix an integer $L \geq 3$. Since $\mathfrak{S}_g$ is contractible, the projection $\mathfrak{S}_g \rightarrow \Gamma(L) \backslash \mathfrak{S}_g$ is the universal principal $\Gamma(L)$ -bundle. The associated bundle

$$\mathbf{T}_g^n \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_g \times_{\Gamma(L)} \mathbf{T}_g^n \rightarrow \Gamma(L) \backslash \mathfrak{S}_g. \quad (2)$$

is nothing but the Borel construction of the $\Gamma(L)$ -space $\mathbf{T}_g^n$. Since $\Gamma(L)$ acts freely on $\mathbf{T}_g^n$, the total space $\mathfrak{S}_g \times_{\Gamma(L)} \mathbf{T}_g^n$ is homotopy equivalent to $\mathbf{T}_g^n / \Gamma(L) = \mathbf{M}_g^n(L)$. Note that the associated bundle (2) is identified, up to homotopy, with the fibration

$BT_g^n \rightarrow BM_g^n(L) \rightarrow B\Gamma(L)$ of classifying spaces induced from the exact sequence (1).

Now suppose that $H_*(T_g^n, \mathbb{Q}) \cong H_*(\mathbf{T}_g^n, \mathbb{Q})$ is finite dimensional. As $\Gamma(L) \backslash \mathfrak{S}_g$ is homotopy equivalent to a finite complex, we may apply the following lemma to the associated bundle (2):

Lemma 1. *Let $F \rightarrow E \rightarrow B$ be a fibration such that B is a finite complex and $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} H_*(F, \mathbb{Q}) < \infty$. Then $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} H_*(E, \mathbb{Q}) < \infty$ and*

$$\chi_{\mathbb{Q}}(E) = \chi_{\mathbb{Q}}(F) \cdot \chi(B),$$

where $\chi_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is defined by $\chi_{\mathbb{Q}}(-) = \sum_i (-1)^i \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} H_i(-, \mathbb{Q})$.

This lemma is a direct consequence of the Serre spectral sequence applied to the fibration $F \rightarrow E \rightarrow B$. As a result, one has

$$\chi(\mathbf{M}_g^n(L)) = \chi_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbf{T}_g^n) \cdot \chi(\Gamma(L) \backslash \mathfrak{S}_g).$$

Since both of the projections $\Gamma(L) \backslash \mathfrak{S}_g \rightarrow Sp(2g, \mathbb{Z}) \backslash \mathfrak{S}_g$ and $\mathbf{M}_g^n(L) \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_g^n$ are $|Sp(2g, \mathbb{Z}/L\mathbb{Z})|$ -fold branched coverings, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(\Gamma(L) \backslash \mathfrak{S}_g) &= |Sp(2g, \mathbb{Z}/L\mathbb{Z})| \cdot e(Sp(2g, \mathbb{Z}) \backslash \mathfrak{S}_g) \\ \chi(\mathbf{M}_g^n(L)) &= |Sp(2g, \mathbb{Z}/L\mathbb{Z})| \cdot e(\mathbf{M}_g^n), \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$e(\mathbf{M}_g^n) = \chi_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbf{T}_g^n) \cdot e(Sp(2g, \mathbb{Z}) \backslash \mathfrak{S}_g), \quad (3)$$

where e denotes the orbifold Euler characteristics. According to G. Harder [3], one has

$$e(Sp(2g, \mathbb{Z}) \backslash \mathfrak{S}_g) = \prod_{k=1}^g \zeta(1 - 2k),$$

while according to J. Harer and D. Zagier [5], one has

$$e(\mathbf{M}_g^n) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2-2g} \zeta(1-2g) & \text{if } n = 0 \\ (-1)^{n-1} \frac{(2g+n-3)!}{(2g-2)!} \zeta(1-2g) & \text{if } n > 0, \end{cases}$$

where ζ is the Riemann ζ -function. See also [17, 12]. Hence the equality (3) leads to

$$\chi_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbf{T}_g^n) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2-2g} \prod_{k=1}^{g-1} \frac{1}{\zeta(1-2k)} & \text{if } n = 0 \\ (-1)^{n-1} \frac{(2g+n-3)!}{(2g-2)!} \prod_{k=1}^{g-1} \frac{1}{\zeta(1-2k)} & \text{if } n > 0 \end{cases}$$

By definition, $\chi_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbf{T}_g^n)$ must be an integer and the proof of Theorem 1 is then completed by virtue of the following lemma which will be proven in the next section.

Lemma 2. *For positive integers m, n , set*

$$e(m, n) = \frac{(2m+n-1)!}{(2m)!} \prod_{k=1}^m \frac{1}{|\zeta(1-2k)|}.$$

Then, for each $n \geq 1$, $e(m, n)$ is not an integer for sufficiently large m compared with n . In particular, $e(m, 1)$ is not an integer for all $m \geq 6$.

4. THE PROOF OF LEMMA 2

Recall that the Riemann ζ -function is defined for $\operatorname{Re} s > 1$ by

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s},$$

On the other hand, the equality

$$\zeta(1-2k) = (-1)^k \frac{2 \cdot (2k-1)!}{(2\pi)^{2k}} \zeta(2k).$$

holds for any integer $k \geq 1$. It follows that

$$|\zeta(1-2k)| = \frac{2 \cdot (2k-1)!}{(2\pi)^{2k}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{2k}} > \frac{2 \cdot (2k-1)!}{(2\pi)^{2k}}$$

for any integer $k \geq 1$, and hence

$$e(m, n) < \frac{(2m+n-1)!}{(2m)!} \prod_{k=1}^m \frac{(2\pi)^{2k}}{2 \cdot (2k-1)!}$$

for any integer $m \geq 1$. We claim that the right hand side of the inequality converges to 0 as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Indeed, regarding the right hand side as a numerical sequence with respect to m , the ratio of the $(m+1)$ -th term to the m -th term is given by

$$\frac{(2m+n+1)(2m+n)}{(2m+2)(2m+1)} \cdot \frac{(2\pi)^{2m+2}}{2 \cdot (2m+1)!}.$$

This converges to 0 as $m \rightarrow \infty$, hence verifying the claim. We conclude that $e(m, n) < 1$ and hence $e(m, n)$ is not an integer for m sufficiently large compared with n . The first assertion is proved.

To prove the second assertion, observe that $(2\pi)^{2k}/(2 \cdot (2k-1)!) < 1$ for $k \geq 9$. It follows that $e(m, 1)$ is strictly decreasing with respect to m for $m \geq 9$. On the other hand, with the help of a computer, one has

$$\prod_{k=1}^{14} \zeta(1-2k) = -297203.11 \dots$$

We see that, for all $m \geq 14$, $e(m, 1) < 1$ and hence $e(m, 1)$ is not an integer. It remains to be proven that $e(m, 1)$ is not an integer for $6 \leq m \leq 13$. However, this can be verified by direct calculations and we omit the detail.

Remark 2. Actually, $e(m, n)$ is not an integer for $n < 678$ and $m \geq 6$ and hence $H_*(\mathcal{I}_g^n, \mathbb{Q})$ is infinite dimensional for $n < 678$ and $g \geq 7$. We describe briefly how this can be proven. Recall that $\zeta(1-2k)$ for positive integer k is given by

$$\zeta(1-2k) = -\frac{B_{2k}}{2k} \in \mathbb{Q},$$

where B_{2k} is the $2k$ -th Bernoulli number defined as the coefficient of $z^{2k}/(2k)!$ in the power series expansion of $z/(e^z - 1)$. On the other hand, von Staudt's theorem asserts that the denominator of B_{2k} is not divisible by a prime p if $2k < p - 1$. By applying von Staudt's theorem to the primes 691 and 3617, the numerators of

B_{12} and B_{16} respectively, we see that $e(m, n)$ is not an integer for $n < 678$ and $6 \leq m \leq 1470$. Now the assertion follows from the inequality

$$e(m, n) < \frac{(2m + 677)!}{(2m)!} \cdot \prod_{k=1}^m \frac{(2\pi)^{2m+2}}{2 \cdot (2m+1)!} < 1$$

which holds for $n < 678$ and $m \geq 37$.

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 2

To prove Theorem 2, we first recall some of Johnson's results concerning the Torelli groups. Suppose $g \geq 3$ and $[\Sigma_g] \in \wedge^2 H_1(\Sigma_g, \mathbb{Z})$ corresponds to the fundamental class of Σ_g . Under these conditions, Johnson constructed in [7] natural $Sp(2g, \mathbb{Z})$ -equivariant surjective homomorphisms

$$\tau_{2,1} : \mathcal{I}_{g,1} \rightarrow \wedge^3 H_1(\Sigma_g, \mathbb{Z})$$

and

$$\tau_2 : \mathcal{I}_g \rightarrow \wedge^3 H_1(\Sigma_g, \mathbb{Z}) / ([\Sigma_g] \wedge H_1(\Sigma_g, \mathbb{Z}))$$

and proved in [9] that $\ker \tau_{2,1} = \mathcal{K}_{g,1}$ and $\ker \tau_2 = \mathcal{K}_g$. The homomorphisms $\tau_{2,1}$ and τ_2 are called *Johnson homomorphisms*. For simplicity, we abbreviate $\wedge^3 H_1(\Sigma_g, \mathbb{Z}) / ([\Sigma_g] \wedge H_1(\Sigma_g, \mathbb{Z}))$ by $\wedge^3 H/H$ and $\wedge^3 H_1(\Sigma_g, \mathbb{Z})$ by $\wedge^3 H$. As a consequence, $\mathcal{K}_g$ fits into the extension

$$1 \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_g \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_g \xrightarrow{\tau_2} \wedge^3 H/H \rightarrow 1$$

Take the classifying space of each group in the extension to yield a fibration

$$B\mathcal{K}_g \rightarrow B\mathcal{I}_g \rightarrow B(\wedge^3 H/H). \quad (4)$$

Observe that $B\mathcal{I}_g$ is homotopy equivalent to $\mathbf{T}_g$ and $B(\wedge^3 H/H)$ is homotopy equivalent to the $\binom{2g}{3} - 2g$ -dimensional torus since $\wedge^3 H/H$ is a free abelian group of rank $\binom{2g}{3} - 2g$.

Now suppose $H_*(\mathcal{K}_g, \mathbb{Q}) \cong H_*(B\mathcal{K}_g, \mathbb{Q})$ is finite dimensional. It follows from Lemma 1 that $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} H_*(\mathbf{T}_g, \mathbb{Q}) < \infty$. If $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} H_*(\mathbf{T}_g, \mathbb{Q}) < \infty$ (and hence $g \leq 6$), then, as in the proof of Theorem 1, $\chi_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbf{T}_g)$ is defined and satisfies

$$\chi_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbf{T}_g) = \frac{1}{2-2g} \prod_{k=1}^{g-1} \frac{1}{\zeta(1-2k)} \neq 0.$$

On the other hand, by applying Lemma 1 to the fibration (4), one has $\chi_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbf{T}_g) = \chi_{\mathbb{Q}}(B\mathcal{K}_g) \cdot \chi(B(\wedge^3 H/H)) = 0$ since $\chi(B(\wedge^3 H/H)) = 0$. A contradiction.

To prove Theorem 2 for the group $\mathcal{K}_g^1$, we will identify $\mathcal{K}_g^1$ with the kernel of a variant of the Johnson homomorphism. Recall that Torelli groups $\mathcal{I}_g^1$ and $\mathcal{I}_{g,1}^1$ fit into the central extension

$$1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{g,1}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_g^1 \rightarrow 1,$$

where the center $\mathbb{Z}$ is generated by the Dehn twist ξ along a simple closed curve parallel to the boundary of a fixed embedded disk $D \subset \Sigma_g$.

Now the Dehn twist ξ is contained in $\mathcal{K}_{g,1}$ and hence $\tau_{2,1}$ induces a homomorphism $\tau_2^1 : \mathcal{I}_g^1 \rightarrow \wedge^3 H$. We claim that $\ker \tau_2^1 = \mathcal{K}_g^1$. Indeed, $\ker \tau_2^1$ coincides with the image of $\mathcal{K}_{g,1}$ under the homomorphism $\mathcal{I}_{g,1}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_g^1$. But the image of $\mathcal{K}_{g,1}$ is

nothing but $\mathcal{K}_g^1$ since any Dehn twist along separating simple closed curve is isotopic to that which fixes the embedded disk pointwise. In summary, we have the extension

$$1 \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_g^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_g^1 \xrightarrow{\tau_2^1} \wedge^3 H \rightarrow 1.$$

Take the classifying space for each group in the extension to yield a fibration

$$B\mathcal{K}_g^1 \rightarrow B\mathcal{I}_g^1 \rightarrow B(\wedge^3 H).$$

Now $B\mathcal{I}_g^1$ is homotopy equivalent to $\mathbf{T}_g^1$ and $B(\wedge^3 H)$ is homotopy equivalent to the $\binom{2g}{3}$ -dimensional torus since $\wedge^3 H$ is a free abelian group of rank $\binom{2g}{3}$. The rest of the proof is similar to that of $\mathcal{K}_g$.

Acknowledgement. The author thanks to Professor Shigeyuki Morita for calling author's attention to the groups $\mathcal{K}_{g,r}^n$.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Borel, J-P. Serre, Corners and arithmetic groups, *Comment. Math. Helv.* 48 (1974) 244–297.
- [2] R. M. Hain, E. Looijenga, Mapping class groups and moduli spaces of curves, *Algebraic Geometry, Santa Cruz, Proc. Symp. Pure Math.*, to appear.
- [3] G. Harder, A Gauss-Bonnet formula for discrete arithmetically defined groups, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)* 4 (1971) 409–455.
- [4] J. Harer, The cohomology of the moduli space of curves, in *Theory of moduli, Lecture Notes in Math.* 1337, Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1988, 138–221.
- [5] J. Harer, D. Zagier, The Euler characteristic of the moduli space of curves, *Invent. Math.* 85 (1986) 457–485.
- [6] W. J. Harvey, Branch loci in Teichmüller space, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 153 (1971) 387–399.
- [7] D. Johnson, An abelian quotient of the mapping class group $\mathcal{I}_g$, *Math. Ann.* 249 (1980) 225–242.
- [8] D. Johnson, The structure of the Torelli group I: A finite set of generators for $\mathcal{I}$, *Ann. of Math.* 118 (1983) 423–442.
- [9] D. Johnson, The structure of the Torelli group–II: A characterization of the group generated by twists on bounding curves, *Topology* 24 (1985) 113–126.
- [10] D. Johnson, The structure of the Torelli group–III: The abelianization of $\mathcal{I}$, *Topology* 24 (1985) 127–144.
- [11] D. Johnson, A survey of the Torelli group, in *Low Dimensional Topology, Contemp. Math.* 20, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1983, 165–179.
- [12] M. Kontsevich, Intersection theory on the moduli space of curves and the matrix Airy function, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 147 (1992) 1–23.
- [13] G. Mess, The Torelli groups for genus 2 and 3 surfaces, *Topology* 31 (1992) 775–790.
- [14] A. Miller, D. McCullough, The genus 2 Torelli group is not finitely generated, *Topology Appl.* 22 (1986), 43–49.
- [15] S. Morita, Casson's invariant for homology 3-spheres and characteristic classes of surface bundles I, *Topology* 28 (1989) 305–323.
- [16] S. Morita, On the structure of the Torelli group and the Casson invariant, *Topology* 30 (1991) 603–621.
- [17] R. C. Penner, Perturbative series and the moduli space of Riemann surfaces, *J. Diff. Geom.* 27 (1988) 35–53.
- [18] J-P. Serre, Arithmetic groups, in *Homological group theory* (C. T. C. Wall ed.), London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes 36, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1979, 105–136.

DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, FUKUOKA UNIVERSITY, FUKUOKA 814-80, JAPAN
E-mail address: akita@sm.fukuoka-u.ac.jp